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Complex regional pain syndrome type I (CRPS1), also known as reflex sympathetic dystrophy syndrome (RSD), represents a painful clinical condition in which hyperalgesia, spontaneous pain, allodynia, and autonomic disturbances along with swelling and impairment of motor functions are major findings. These abnormalities are disproportionate to the inciting event and are not limited to a single peripheral nerve territory.[1,2] If the involved extremity is not mobilized adequately, limb atrophy and contractures may occur. Patients usually suffer from intense pain, and they show different pain behaviors that may affect daily life. Its treatment is still a dilemma, and there is no universally accepted treatment strategy. Although very different kinds of treatments have been tried, none has given satisfactory results,[3] probably as a result...
of an insufficient understanding of the pathogenesis. Theories regarding the pathogenesis include irritation and abnormal excitation of the nerve tissue which may lead to abnormal impulses along nerves. These changes affect the blood vessels and skin. However, no single theory can explain all features of the CRPS. Some recent studies have emphasized the inflammatory activity in earlier stages of the disease.[4,5]

Here, we report resolution of CRPS1 in a patient, who already had a diagnosis of ankylosing spondylitis (AS), with the treatment of the tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-blocker etanercept.

**CASE REPORT**

A 62-year-old female patient who was undergoing follow-up for AS reported that she had fallen five months ago due to an ankle distortion. Her diagnosis of AS was made a few years ago. Her right ankle became swollen and painful a few days after the fall. The patient received treatment for one month and then underwent parenteral antibiotherapy for ten days in an inpatient orthopedic clinic. Because there was no improvement, she underwent an arthroscopic synovectomy and cartilage debridement; however, her complaints did not resolve.

The patient was referred to our clinic for her ongoing painful, swollen ankle and foot complaint. She was not able to walk without an aid or perform daily activities due to pain. Upon physical examination, she had swelling, warmth, and profound tenderness in the right ankle and foot. She had also redness, hypersensitivity, and shiny skin on her right foot. Her right ankle could not be palpated due to allodynia and hyperalgesia, and her right ankle motions were more than 50% restricted compared to the normal range. The nails on the right side grew less than the left, and there was piloerection on the affected side. She had spontaneous pain of 7/10 on the visual analog scale (VAS) and 9/10 with palpation.

The patient had already been diagnosed with AS according to the modified New York criteria. She had bilateral sacroiliitis, lumbar motion restriction, morning stiffness, and enthesitis. Laboratory examination showed a high erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) (65 mm/h) and C-reactive protein (CRP) (20 mg/dl) along with a Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) of 5.1. Her earlier magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and bone scanning were compatible with sacroiliitis and enthesopathies. Therefore, we looked for an AS-related pathology. She had bilateral ankle synovitis in ultrasonography, but an MRI revealed little synovitis and/or tenosynovitis in the right ankle. It showed periarticular and periosseous edema. An X-ray showed one-sided diffuse osteoporosis (figure 1a). Three-phase bone scanning showed diffuse increased uptake on delayed phase in the right foot which is consistent with CRPS1. Her clinical picture met the criteria for CRPS1 according to the Kozin criteria.[6] Otherwise, her general clinical picture was compatible with active AS.

A treatment of intense physical therapy and rehabilitation along with semi-synthetic opioids provided some relief from the symptoms. On the other hand, the inflammatory condition related to AS didn’t show any improvement despite long-term methotrexate treatment and systemic steroids as well as non-steroid anti-inflammatory medications. We decided to start a TNF-α blocker treatment (etanercept, 25 mg two times a week subcutaneously). One month later, the patient had a walking distance of 200 m with moderately less pain. She had no spontaneous or evoked pain. Although her dermis was still shiny, there was no color change or warmth. Her nails were growing equally on both sides.

Three weeks later, the patient was able to walk more than five minutes without pain. Her dermis was nearly normal, and she had no pain. She was able to perform her daily activities freely and felt much better with the decrease in inflammatory activity of AS. Acute phase reactants had returned to normal ranges. Her sleeping quality had increased, and her morning stiffness had decreased significantly. A bone scan showed no pathology. A control X-Ray did not show the diffuse osteoporosis (figure 1b).

Figure 1. (a) The X-ray image of the feet before (b) and five months later.
**DISCUSSION**

Complex regional pain syndrome type I is a syndrome of spontaneous pain with vasomotor and sudomotor changes. These symptoms are disproportionate to the inciting event and are not related to a single nerve territory. As the cause of CRPS1 is still controversial, different mechanisms have been postulated in the pathophysiology. However, these mechanisms cannot explain the entire problem. A local circuit of neural and vascular disturbances or an inflammatory process may be responsible.

Various treatments have been used to alleviate the problem.[7-10] Physical medicine and rehabilitation (PM&R) modalities combined with other treatments offer fairly good success, especially in early cases.[11] PM&R modalities, such as pain-alleviating electrical currents, anti-edema massage and exercise along with different kinds of medicines including corticosteroids, β-2 blockers, semi-synthetic opioids, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, are the most widely used treatment options in CRPS. However, these treatment options, either given singularly or in combination, do not usually provide satisfactory success.

Inflammatory processes are known to be involved in the early and intermediate phases of CRPS1.[12] Some researchers have shown that the pro-inflammatory cytokines interleukin (IL)-6 and TNF-α increased while the anti-inflammatory cytokines decreased in the earlier phases of CRPS1.[5,13,14] Nowadays, the biologics, including monoclonal antibodies, are drawing more attention in CRPS.[15]

Theories related to pathogenesis encompass plasticity both at the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) and spinal levels. Animal studies have shown an increase of TNF at these regions after a nerve injury.[16,17] TNF-α may lead to increased p38, which is a factor involved in the generation of pain behavior. Ultimately, a cycle that activates DRG by TNF-α and p38, interleukins, or cyclooxygenase may ensue. TNF-α can induce ectopic activity in primary afferent nociceptors, and thus is a potential cause of pain and hyperalgesia in CRPS.[14,18,19]

On the other hand, local organic disturbances may provide other causes of the pain. Osteoporosis (OP) and soft tissue degeneration may be the cause of ultimate signs and symptoms. The effect of protective or pain-related immobilization on these disturbances is not understood. TNF-α can be locally synthesized and released by osteoblasts and mononuclear cells. It has been shown that substance P (SP) induces the release of IL-1 and TNF-α.[20] In this context, SP may cause CRPS1 symptoms by activating local vascular and neural disturbances, or it can have an indirect role induced by these events. The release of nitric oxide (NO) driven by IL-1 and TNF-α in inflammation is another cause of OP, which perhaps is also true for localized inflammatory or non-inflammatory lesions. A study by Redlich et al.[21] showed that bone destruction in inflammatory arthritis is driven by osteoclasts in which TNF-α plays an important role. TNF-α may be important in the pathogenesis, and the inciting process may be driven particularly by this cytokine. In accordance with this suggestion, Wagner et al.[22] showed decreased levels of TNF-α after administration of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10.

The use of anti-TNF blockers, in this case etanercept, may take part in all these mechanisms by previously proposed actions. The efficacy of TNF-α blockers given by systemic or local intravenous routes has been shown.[23] Although it reverses the whole inflammatory response in chronic inflammatory diseases, pain reduction may not only be related only to decreased synovitis, but it may also be induced by the aforementioned neural pathways.

The hyperalgesia and allodynia are possibly due to bone and soft tissue changes in relation to the pain transmission pathways or neural plasticity in the central nervous system. TNF-blockers may directly or indirectly affect both routes of symptoms in CRPS1. It is known that anti-TNF medications may be used to prevent or reverse systemic bone loss associated with inflammatory disease.

In our case, a doubt about the CRPS1 diagnosis might be raised because of the possibility of arthritis. However, consultations with radiologists and nuclear medicine specialists in conjunction with the clinical and radiological findings compatible with IASP (International Association for the Study of Pain) criteria made the diagnosis adequately certain.

In conclusion, we think that anti-TNF-α drugs may be a potential treatment option in CRPS. TNF-α may be responsible from the primary pathology in CRPS which involves the neurovascular and osseous components. Blocking TNF-α would be effective in inflammatory pathways and, as a result, it would lead to stopping the pain circuit. Once the painful osteoporosis is prevented, the patient may use the extremity better in daily life. In such pathologies, unless the patient cannot tolerate it, exercise and weight bearing are very important in the
treatment. Obtaining good circulation and alleviating the pain would help the patients to use the affected part comfortably. Consequently, the osteoporosis would disappear significantly. To explore the exact effect of the mechanisms of this medication on CRPS, further investigations and randomized controlled studies are needed.
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